EXHIBIT A

>			1
CONFORMED COPY 3 4	Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	ER & HEDGES, LLP	
6 7 8	Steven M. Anderson (Bar No. 144014) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIV 865 S. Figueroa St. 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	ZOO JUN 16 PM 3: 59 CENTRAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF CALIF	B
9 10 11 12	Jaime A. Siegel, Sr. IP Counsel SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA 1 Sony Drive MD 2F-2 Park Ridge, New Jersey 07675 Telephone: (201) 930-7415 Facsimile: (201) 930-6854		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Sony Corporation	DISTRICT COURT	
No.			
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	WESTERN	DIVISION 03934	ROK
17	SONY CORPORATION, A Japanese corporation,	CASE NO. CV 08-03934	FMO
18 19	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
20	vs.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
21	WESTINGHOUSE DIGITAL ELECTRONICS, LLC, A California limited liability company,		
22	Defendant.		
23	Dotondari.		
24			
25			
26			
2 7			
28			
51354/2521352.3		1 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRING	EMENT

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

25.

Plaintiff Sony Corporation files this complaint against Westinghouse Digital Electronics, LLC ("Westinghouse"):

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Sony Corporation ("Sony") is a Japanese corporation with a principal place of business at 1-7-1, Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Westinghouse is a limited liability company organized under the laws of California and headquartered in Sante Fe Springs, California. Westinghouse's agent for service of process is listed as David O. Chen, 12150 Mora Drive, Sante Fe Springs, CA 90670.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This lawsuit is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business in the State of California and committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in the Central District of California and elsewhere in California and the United States.
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because Defendant regularly conducts business in this judicial district, and certain of the acts complained of herein occurred in this judicial district. Defendant offers to sell and sells the accused products in this judicial district.

- 6. On July 18, 1995, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,434,626 titled "Display Apparatus Displaying Operation Menu" (hereinafter "the '626 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '626 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7. On December 10, 1996, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,583,577 titled "Caption Data Coding/Decoding Systems and Methods that Includes Key Data Indicating Intermediate Levels of Attenuation in the Vicinity of the Caption" (hereinafter "the '577 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '577 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 8. On November 4, 1997, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,684,542 titled "Video Subtitle Processing System" (hereinafter "the '542 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '542 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 9. On March 24, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,731,847 titled "Subtitle Encoding/Decoding Method and Apparatus" (hereinafter "the '847 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '847 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 10. On May 12, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,751,373 titled "Television Function Selection Method, Television Receiver and Remove Commander for Television Receiver" (hereinafter "the '373 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '373 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 11. On August 29, 2000, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,111,614 titled "Method and Apparatus for Displaying an Electronic Menu having Components with Differing Levels of Transparency" (hereinafter "the '614 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '614 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 12. On April 1, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent Re-issue No. 38,055 titled "Video Data Bus Communication System and Method" (hereinafter "the '055 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '055 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

13. The '626 patent, '577 patent, '542 patent, '847 patent, '373 patent, '614 patent, and the '055 patent, collectively, are henceforth referred to as the "patents-in-suit."

14. Sony is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to each of the patents-in-suit with full and exclusive right to bring suit to enforce this patent, including the right to recover for past infringement.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '626 PATENT

- 15. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '626 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '626 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 17. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '626 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '626 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the'626 patent.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '626 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.

51354/2521352.3

- 20. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 21. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 22. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '626 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '577 PATENT

- 23. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '577 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '577 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 25. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '577 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '577 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '577 patent.

27. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '577 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.

- 28. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 29. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 30. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '577 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT III

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '542 PATENT

- 31. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271,

 Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '542 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '542 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 33. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '542 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '542 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.

51354/2521352.3

34.	Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the
542 patent.	

- 35. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '542 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 36. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 37. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 38. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '542 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

<u>COUNT IV</u>

<u>INFRINGEMENT OF THE '847 PATENT</u>

- 39. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 40. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '847 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '847 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 41. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271,

 Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '847 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '847 patent by the

manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.

- 42. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '847 patent.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '847 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 44. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 45. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 46. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '847 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT V

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '373 PATENT

- 47. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 48. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '373 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '373 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 49. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271,

 Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '373 patent by contributing

to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '373 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.

- 50. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '373 patent.
- 51. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '373 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 52. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 53. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 54. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '373 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VI

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '614 PATENT

- 55. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '614 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '614 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.

28

25

5	Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271,			
Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '614 patent by contributing				
to and/o	ctively inducing the infringement by others of the '614 patent by the			
manufa	re, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital			
televisio				

- 58. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '614 patent.
- 59. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '614 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 60. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 61. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 62. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '614 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '055 PATENT

- 63. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271,

 Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '055 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '055

51354/2521352,3

patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.

- 65. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '055 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '055 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital televisions.
- 66. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '055 patent.
- 67. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '055 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 68. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 69. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 70. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '055 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Sony prays for the following relief:

- (a) That Defendant be ordered to pay damages adequate to compensate Sony for Defendant's infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (b) That Defendant be ordered to pay treble damages for willful infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

51354/2521352.3

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

DATED: June 16, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

in P.B. Johnson

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

Jaime A. Siegel, Sr. IP Counsel SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA

--

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

51354/2521352.3

EXHIBIT B

1 2 3 4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	HEDGES, LLP	
5 6 7	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & Steven M. Anderson (Bar No. 144014) stevenanderson@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-254	HEDGES, LLP	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Sony Corporation		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	WESTERN DIVISION		
13	SONY CORPORATION, A Japanese Corporation,	CASE NO. CV 08-03934 - RGK (FMOx)	
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION	
15 16	vs.	FOR FILING OF SONY CORPORATION'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT	
17	WESTINGHOUSE DIGITAL ELECTRONICS, LLC, A California limited		
18	liability company,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	,		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	· ·		
28	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION	CASE NO. CV 08-03934 - RGK (FMC	
	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEME		

_

51354/2634634.1 28

CASE NO. CV 08-03934 - RGK (FMOx

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION FOR FILING OF SONY CORPORATION'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

ORDER

WHEREAS the Court being fully advised, having considered the Joint Stipulation

finds that the parties Joint Stipulation for Filing of Sony's Corporation's First Amended

Complaint for Patent Infringement should be GRANTED in all respects. The Clerk is hereby

DIRECTED to file Sony's First Amended Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2008

HONORABLE R. Gary Klausner United States District Court Judge

EXHIBIT C

1 2 3 4 5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 Steven M. Anderson (Bar No. 144014) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES 865 S. Figueroa St. 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000			
- 7	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100			
8				
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Sony Corporation			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
- 12	WESTERN DIVISION			
13	SONY CORPORATION, A Japanese corporation,	CASE NO. CV-08-3934 RGKCFMOK		
14	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
15	vs.	FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		
16	WESTINGHOUSE DIGITAL	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED		
17	ELECTRONICS, LLC, A California limited liability company,			
18	Defendant.	·		
19				
20				
21				
22		s complaint against Westinghouse Digital		
23	Electronics, LLC ("Westinghouse"):			
24	THE PARTIES			
25	1. Plaintiff Sony Corporation ("Sony") is a Japanese corporation with a			
26	principal place of business at 1-7-1, Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan.			
27	2. Upon information and belief, Westinghouse is a limited liability			
28	company organized under the laws of California and headquartered in Sante Fe			
.2				
	FIRST	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT		

15

17

18

19

.20 21

22 23

24

26

27

Ż8

Springs, California. Westinghouse's agent for service of process is listed as David O. Chen, 12150 Mora Drive, Sante Fe Springs, CA 90670.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This lawsuit is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business in the State of California and committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in the Central District of California and elsewhere in California and the United States.
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because Defendant regularly conducts business in this judicial district, and certain of the acts complained of herein occurred in this judicial district. Defendant offers to sell and sells the accused products in this judicial district.

THE PATENTS IN SUIT

- 6. On July 18, 1995, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,434,626 titled "Display Apparatus Displaying Operation Menu" (hereinafter "the '626 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '626 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 7. On December 10, 1996, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,583,577 titled "Caption Data Coding/Decoding Systems and Methods that Includes Key Data Indicating Intermediate Levels of Attenuation in the Vicinity of the Caption" (hereinafter "the '577 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '577 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

· 25

- 8. On November 4, 1997, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,684,542 titled "Video Subtitle Processing System" (hereinafter "the '542 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '542 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 9. On March 24, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,731,847 titled "Subtitle Encoding/Decoding Method and Apparatus" (hereinafter "the '847 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '847 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 10. On May 12, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,751,373 titled "Television Function Selection Method, Television Receiver and Remove Commander for Television Receiver" (hereinafter "the '373 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '373 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 11. On August 29, 2000, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,111,614 titled "Method and Apparatus for Displaying an Electronic Menu having Components with Differing Levels of Transparency" (hereinafter "the '614 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '614 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 12. On April 1, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent Re-issue No. 38,055 titled "Video Data Bus Communication System and Method" (hereinafter "the '055 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '055 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 13. On August 26, 2008, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent Re-issue No. 40,468 titled "Video Data Bus Communication System and Method" (hereinafter "the '468 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '468 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
- 14. On August 17, 2004, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,778,182 titled "Display Device" (hereinafter "the '182 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '182 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- 15. On December 9, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,661,472 titled "Channel Selection In Digital Television" (hereinafter "the '472 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '472 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit J.

14

16

20

23

27

- The '626 patent, '577 patent, '542 patent, '847 patent, '373 patent, '614 16. patent, '055 patent, '468 patent, '182 patent, and the '472 patent collectively, are henceforth referred to as the "patents-in-suit."
- Sony is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to each of the 17. patents-in-suit with full and exclusive right to bring suit to enforce this patent, including the right to recover for past infringement.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '626 PATENT

- Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding 18. paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 19. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '626 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '626 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '626 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '626 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the 21. '626 patent.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the 22. '626 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will 23. suffer damages.

.12

15

20

18

23

28

- 24. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 25. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '626 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '577 PATENT

- 26. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '577 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '577 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 28. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '577 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '577 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '577 patent.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '577 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 31. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

- 32. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 33. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '577 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT III

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '542 PATENT

- 34. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '542 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '542 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 36. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '542 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '542 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 37. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '542 patent.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '542 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

5 I

11

9

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

232425

262728

- 40. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 41. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '542 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT IV

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '847 PATENT

- 42. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '847 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '847 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 44. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '847 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '847 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '847 patent.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '847 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 47. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

- 48. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 49. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '847 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT Y

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '373 PATENT

- 50. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 51. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '373 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '373 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 52. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '373 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '373 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 53. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '373 patent.
- 54. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '373 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 55. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

51354/2632973.2

56. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

57. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '373 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VI

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '614 PATENT

- 58. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 59. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '614 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '614 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 60. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '614 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '614 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 61. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '614 patent.
- 62. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '614 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 63. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

22

20

28

25

- 64. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 65. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '614 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '055 PATENT

- 66. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '055 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '055 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 68. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '055 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '055 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 69. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '055 patent.
- 70. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '055 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 71. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

72. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

73. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '055 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VIII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '468 PATENT

- 74. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 75. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '468 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '468 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 76. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '468 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '468 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 77. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '468 patent.
- 78. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '468 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 79. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

- 80. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 81. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '468 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT IX

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '182 PATENT

- 82. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 83. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '182 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '182 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 84. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '182 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '182 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 85. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '182 patent.
- 86. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '182 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 87. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

- 88. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 89. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '182 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT X

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '472 PATENT

- 90. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 91. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '182 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '472 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 92. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '472 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '472 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 93. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '472 patent.
- 94. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '472 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 95. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

- 96. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 97. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '472 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Sony prays for the following relief:

- (a) That Defendant be ordered to pay damages adequate to compensate Sony for Defendant's infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (b) That Defendant be ordered to pay treble damages for willful infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- (c) That Defendant be ordered to pay attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 for each of the patents-in-suit;
- (d) That Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and those persons acting in active concert or in participation with them be enjoined from further infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
 - (e) That Defendant be ordered to pay prejudgment interest;
- (f) That Defendant be ordered to pay all costs associated with this action; and
- (g) That Sony be granted such other and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, DATED: September 12, 2008 Kerin P.B. Johnson
OVINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLPAttorneys for
Plaintiff
SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA :16 15
FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT 51354/2632973.2

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

DATED: Septmeber 12, 2008

б

.23

Respectfully submitted,

Keyn P.B. Johnson
OUINN EMANUEL URQUHART
OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA

51354/2632973,2

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

EXHIBIT D

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129) QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, 1200 OCT 10 PM 4:06 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 CLERK U. DISTRICT COUR CENTRAL DIST. C. CALIL LOS ANGE. E. Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

Steven M. Anderson (Bar No. 144014) QUINN EMANUEL ÙROUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

865 S. Figueroa St. 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 6 7

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sony Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WESTERN DIVISION

SONY CORPORATION, A Japanese corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

VIZIO, Inc.,

Defendant. 18

CV08-113544S(ANX)

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Sony Corporation files this complaint against VIZIO, Inc. ("VIZIO" or "Defendant"):

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff Sony Corporation ("Sony") is a Japanese corporation with a principal place of business at 1-7-1, Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

28

51451/2664183.1

9

12 13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Upon information and belief, VIZIO is a corporation organized under 2. the laws of California and headquartered at 39 Tesla, Irvine, California, 92618.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This lawsuit is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant conducts business in the State of California and committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in the Central District of California and elsewhere in California and the United States.
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because Defendant regularly conducts business in this judicial district, and certain of the acts complained of herein occurred in this judicial district. Defendant offers to sell and sells the accused products in this judicial district.

THE PATENTS IN SUIT

- On July 18, 1995, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,434,626 titled 4. "Display Apparatus Displaying Operation Menu" (hereinafter "the '626 patent"). A true and correct copy of the `626 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- On December 10, 1996, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,583,577 titled "Caption Data Coding/Decoding Systems and Methods that Includes Key Data Indicating Intermediate Levels of Attenuation in the Vicinity of the Caption" (hereinafter "the '577 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '577 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

7

12

10

15

16 17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25 26

27

28

- On November 4, 1997, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,684,542 6. titled "Video Subtitle Processing System" (hereinafter "the '542 patent"). A true and correct copy of the `542 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 7. On March 24, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,731,847 titled "Subtitle Encoding/Decoding Method and Apparatus" (hereinafter "the '847 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '847 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 8. On May 12, 1998, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,751,373 titled "Television Function Selection Method, Television Receiver and Remove Commander for Television Receiver" (hereinafter "the '373 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '373 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 9. On August 29, 2000, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6.111.614 titled "Method and Apparatus for Displaying an Electronic Menu having Components with Differing Levels of Transparency" (hereinafter "the '614 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '614 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 10. On April 1, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent Re-issue No. 38,055 titled "Video Data Bus Communication System and Method" (hereinafter "the '055 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '055 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit G.
- 11. On August 26, 2008, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent Re-issue No. 40,468 titled "Video Data Bus Communication System and Method" (hereinafter "the '468 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '468 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
- 12. On August 17, 2004, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,778,182 titled "Display Device" (hereinafter "the '182 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '182 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit I.
- 13. On December 9, 2003, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 6,661,472 titled "Channel Selection In Digital Television" (hereinafter "the '472 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '472 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit J.

51451/2664183.1

Filed 10/10/2008

5

11

18

21

28

- 14. On April 29, 1992, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,285,285 titled "Method Of Controlling First Items That Require Prior CRT Display And Second Items That Require No Prior Display" (hereinafter "the '285 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '285 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit K.
- 15. On May 18, 1993, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,212,553 titled "Television Receiver With Selective Menu Display" (hereinafter "the '553 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '553 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit L.
- 16. On December 1, 1992, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,168,362 titled "Apparatus For Displaying Standard Aspect Ratio Television Signal On Wide Aspect Ratio Display Screen" (hereinafter "the '362 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '362 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit M.
- On May 18, 1993, the USPTO issued U.S. Patent No. 5,539,425 titled 17. "Display Unit" (hereinafter "the '425 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '425 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit N.
- The '626 patent, '577 patent, '542 patent, '847 patent, '373 patent, '614 18. patent, '055 patent, '468 patent, '182 patent, '472 patent, '285 patent, '553 patent, '362 patent, and the '425 patent collectively, are henceforth referred to as the 'patents-in-suit."
- 19. Sony is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to each of the patents-in-suit with full and exclusive right to bring suit to enforce this patent, including the right to recover for past infringement.

COUNT I

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '626 PATENT

Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding 20. paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 21. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '626 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '626 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 22. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '626 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '626 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the 626 patent.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '626 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 25. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 26. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 27. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '626 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT II

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '577 PATENT

- 28. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '577 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '577 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 30. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '577 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '577 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '577 patent.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '577 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 33. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 34. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 35. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '577 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

4 5

6

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

.26 27

28

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '542 PATENT

COUNT III

- 36. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '542 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '542 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 38. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '542 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '542 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 39. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '542 patent.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '542 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 41. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 42. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 43. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '542 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

4

5

6

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

27

26

28

51451/2664183.1

COUNT IV

Filed 10/10/2008

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '847 PATENT

- 44. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 45. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '847 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '847 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of digital devices, including display televisions.
- 46. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '847 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '847 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 47. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '847 patent.
- 48. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '847 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 49. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 50. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 51. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '847 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and

5

6

7

4

8

10 11

12 13

15 16

14

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT V

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '373 PATENT

- Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding 52. paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 53. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '373 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '373 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 54. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '373 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '373 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 55. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '373 patent.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the 56. '373 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 57. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 58. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '373 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and

7

12 13

15

16

14

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VI

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '614 PATENT

- Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding 60. paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 61. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '614 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '614 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 62. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '614 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '614 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the 63. '614 patent.
- 64. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '614 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 65. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by 66. Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

13 14

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

26

27

25

28

67. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '614 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '055 PATENT

- 68. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 69. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '055 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '055 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 70. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '055 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '055 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the 71. '055 patent.
- 72. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '055 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 73. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.

51451/2664183.1

- 74. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.
- 75. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '055 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT VIII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '468 PATENT

- 76. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 77. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '468 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '468 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 78. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '468 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '468 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 79. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '468 patent.
- 80. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '468 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

- 81. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 82. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '468 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT IX

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '182 PATENT

- 83. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 84. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 16 Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '182 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '182 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
 - Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 85. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '182 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '182 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
 - Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the 86. '182 patent.

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

87. Upon information and belief. Defendant's acts of infringement of the '182 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.

Filed 10/10/2008

- 88. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 89. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '182 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT X

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '472 PATENT

- Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding 90. paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 91. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '182 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '472 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 92. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '472 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '472 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.

2	

1

4 5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

93. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '472 patent.

Filed 10/10/2008

- 94. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '472 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 95. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 96. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '472 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT XI

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '285 PATENT

- 97. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 98. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '285 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '285 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 99. Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '285 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '285 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.

100. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the

Filed 10/10/2008

- '285 patent.
- 101. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '285 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 102. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 103. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '285 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT XII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '553 PATENT

- 104. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 105. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '553 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '553 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 106. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '553 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '553 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.

-	
	Į
\mathbf{a}	٠
4	

3 4

6

5

8

7

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- 107. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '553 patent.
- 108. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '553 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 109. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 110. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '553 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT XIII

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '362 PATENT

- 111. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 112. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '362 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '362 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 113. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '362 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '362 patent by the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.

114.

Case 8:08-cv-01135-AHS-AN

- 115. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '362 patent.
- 116. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '362 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 117. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 118. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '362 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

COUNT XIV

INFRINGEMENT OF THE '425 PATENT

- 119. Sony realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 120. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, the '425 patent by practicing one or more claims of the '425 patent in the manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 121. Upon information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271,

 Defendant has infringed and is continuing to infringe the '425 patent by contributing to and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '425 patent by the

2

3 4

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

- 122. manufacture, use, offering for sale, sale, and/or importation or exportation of display devices, including digital televisions.
- 123. Upon information and belief, Defendant has willfully infringed the '425 patent.
- 124. Upon information and belief, Defendant's acts of infringement of the '472 patent will continue after service of this complaint unless enjoined by the Court.
- 125. As a result of Defendant's infringement, Sony has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 126. Sony is entitled to recover from Defendant the damages sustained by Sony as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Unless Defendant is enjoined by this Court from continuing its infringement of the '425 patent, Sony will suffer additional irreparable harm and impairment of the value of its patent rights. Thus, Sony is entitled to a preliminary and permanent injunction against further infringement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Sony prays for the following relief:

- That Defendant be ordered to pay damages adequate to (a) compensate Sony for Defendant's infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- That Defendant be ordered to pay treble damages for willful infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- That Defendant be ordered to pay attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 (c) U.S.C. § 285 for each of the patents-in-suit;
- (d) That Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and those persons acting in active concert or in participation with them be enjoined from further infringement of each of the patents-in-suit pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283;
 - That Defendant be ordered to pay prejudgment interest; (e)

:	Case 8:08-cv-01135-AHS-AN Document 1 Filed 10/10/2008 Page 20 of 125
•	
	(f) That Defendant be ordered to pay all costs associated with this
	action; and
	(g) That Sony be granted such other and additional relief as the
	Court deems just and proper.
	DATED: October 10, 2008 Respectfully submitted,
-	Steven Anderson QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
1	OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
1	4 0 71
1	
1	
1	5 .
1	5
1	7
1	<u> </u>
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	<u> </u>
2	-
. 2	
2	5
. 2	6
2	7
2	8
251451/2664183.1	17.3 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
	Court Parist Local Value and Michael

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL			
2	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby			
3	demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.			
4				
5	DATED: October 10, 2008 Respectfully submitted,			
6				
7	By Steven M. Coule			
8	Steven Anderson QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP			
9	OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA			
11	SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

EXHIBIT E

TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the following cases that have previously been filed in this Court are related to the instant case in that a number of the patentsin-suit are identical to those in the prior case and in that the instant case calls for the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law in that the relief sought is the same.

The related case is Sony Corp. v. Westinghouse Digital Electronics, LLC, CV08-03934 RGK (FMOx).

DATED: October 10, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

Anderson Attorneys for Plaintiff Sony Corporation

11

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

EXHIBIT F

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

CIVIL COVERSILES							
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself D) SONY CORPORATION, A Japanese corporation		DEFENDANTS VIZIO, Inc.					
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number, If you are yourself, provide same.)	representing	Attorneys (If Known) unknown					
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Tel: (213) 443-3000					•		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.)		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL P			Only		
DIU.S. Government Plaintiff 23 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This	State	PTF DEF	Incorporated or P of Business in thi		PTF □ 4	DEF □4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Ano	ther State	□2 □ 2	Incorporated and of Business in Ar		0 5	□ 5
	Citizen or Subj	ect of a Foreign Country	□3 □3	Foreign Nation		□6	□6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.)							
	eopened			cify); 🗆 6 Multi Distri Litiga	ict Judge	al to D e from istrate J	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: 6 Yes] No (Check 'Ye	s' only if demanded in cor	nplaint.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: 11 Yes 19 No		MONEY DEMANDED	IN COMPLA	INT: s to be prov	ved at trial		
VI, CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you Counts 1-14: violation of 35 U.S.C. 271 (Patent Infringement)	are filing and wr	rite a brief statement of cau	ise. Do not cit	e jurisdictional sta	itutes unless dive	rsity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)							
	total and the same of the same	in Landage - programme to the control programme Linguistics	THE THE SECOND SECOND	Mass Wiles where other programme and	Market and Albert Property and the	AT THE WAR	
OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT	TORTS RSONAL INJUR	TORTS		RISONER	LAB	×1. 120 -1	
	Airplane	Y PERSONAL PROPERTY		ETITIONS:	☐ 710 Fair Lab Act	or stan	idards
	Airplane Produ				☐ 720 Labor/M	igmt.	
450 Commerce/ICC	Liability Assault, Libel o	☐ 371 Truth in Len	4 1	Habeas Corpus	Relation		
Rates/etc.	Slander	1000 0000 10000		General Death Penalty	☐ 730 Labor/M		
□ 470 Racketeer Influenced Enforcement of □ 330	Fed. Employer	s' 385 Property Dat	mage Cl 540	Mandamus/	Reportir Disclose		
and Corrunt Judgment	Liability Marine	Product Liab	ility	Other	☐ 740 Railway		
Organizations 151 Medicare Act 172.45	Marine Produc	BANKRUPICI		Civil Rights	☐ 790 Other La		
D 400 Cohlaffor TV Student Loan (Fool	Liability	150 HZZ Appear 28 U	The mark to desire	Prison Condition	Litigatio □ 791 Empl. R		
D 810 Saluctive Service Veternel	Motor Vehicle Motor Vehicle	IT 422 Withdown I		ENALTY	Security		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ 153 Recovery of	Product Liabili	USC 157		Agriculture	PROPERTY	RIGH	TS::
Exchange Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Other Personal	SECMERIORIS			D 820 Copyrig	hts	
11003416	Injury ! Personal Injury	☐ 441 Voting ,_ ☐ 442 Employment		Drug Drug Related	■ 830 Patent □ 840 Tradema	ark	
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 190 Other Contract	Med Malpracti			Seizure of	SOCIAL SE		
	Personal Injury			Property 21 USC	□ 861 HIA (13		
□ 892 Economic Stabilization Liability Act □ 196 Franchise □ 368	Product Liabili Asbestos Perso			881 Liquor Laws	☐ 862 Black Lt ☐ 863 DIWC/I		(3)
□ 893 Environmental Matters	Injury Product	Disabilities		R.R. & Truck	(405(g))		
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment	□ 650	Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Ti	tle XVI	Į.
	MMIGRATION: Naturalization			Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40:		TOTAL PROPERTY.
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 462 nation Under Equal □ 240 Torts to Land	Application	Disabilities - Other	□ 690	Safety /Health Other	SREDERATER □ 870 Taxes (U		
Access to Justice 245 Tort Product Liability 463	Habcas Corpus	i- D 440 Other Civil		~ 4141	or Defer		
□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 465	Alien Detainee Other Immigrat Actions				□ 871 IRS-Thi USC 760	rd Party	y 26
SACVO	R=1	135					
34040						-	

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page I of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	ENTICAL CASES: Has ase number(s):	this action been pr	eviously filed in this court an	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? 📝 No 🗆 Yes	
VIII(b). R If yes, list c	ELATED CASES: Have ase number(s): CV08-03	any cases been pre 1934 RGK (FMO	eviously filed in this court that x)	at are related to the present case? 🗆 No 😢 Yes	
	ක් B. (න් C. 1	Arise from the same Call for determinati For other reasons w	e or closely related transaction on of the same or substantial culd entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or By related or similar questions of law and fact; or eation of labor if heard by different judges; or , <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
IX. VENUI	E: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet it	f necessary.)	
(a) List the	e County in this District; (here if the government, it	California County o s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State in years is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in	this District:*		<u> </u>	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
				Sony Corporation: citizen of Japan;	
(b) List the	County in this District; there if the government, it	California County o s agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State i	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (e).	
	this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
VIZIO, Inc Orange County, California					
			utside of this District; State i	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.	
County in	his District:•			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California, or Foreign Country	
Claims I-	4: Los Angeles Count	у			
	les, Orange, San Bernar d condemnation cases, us		entura, Santa Barbara, or S rust of land involved	San Luis Obispo Counties	
X. SIGNAT	URE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER);	Steven M	Date October 10, 2008	
orothe	r papers as required by law	. This form, approv	red by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings c of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statis	stical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:	<u> </u>		
	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action	
	861	НІА	All claims for health insure Also, include claims by ho program. (42 U.S.C. 1935	ance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. splials, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the FF(b))	
	862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
	863	WWIC	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
	864	SSID	All claims for supplements Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security	
	865	RSI	All claims for retirement (o U.S.C. (g))	old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42	

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

CV-71 (05/08)

EXHIBIT G

Liza M. Walsh CONNELL FOLEY LLP 85 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 535-0500

Counsel for Plaintiff VIZIO, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

VIZIO, Inc., Plaintiff,) Civil Action No.
v. SONY CORPORATION, A Japanese Corporation, and SONY CORPORATION OF AMERICA, A New York Corporation, Defendants.)))) COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY) JUDGMENT, TRADE LIBEL,) DISPARGEMENT, AND) VIOLATION OF LANHAM ACT))

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff VIZIO, Inc. ("VIZIO") for its Complaint against Sony Corporation and Sony Corporation of America hereby demands a jury trial and alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for declaratory judgment of noninfringement and invalidity of twelve (12) United States Patents pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02, and the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 100 et seq., for damages for and injunctive relief from trade libel and disparagement under common law and violation of Section

43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), and for such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff VIZIO is an American company that sells high definition televisions, having its principal place of business at 39 Tesla, Irvine, California 92618.
- 3. On information and belief, defendant Sony Corporation ("Sony") is a Japanese corporation having its principal place of business at 1-7-1, Konan, Minato-Ku, Tokyo, Japan.
- 4. On information and belief, defendant Sony Corporation of America ("SCA") is a New York corporation having its principal place of business at 550 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022. SCA has offices and employees in New Jersey at 1 Sony Drive, Park Ridge, New Jersey and 123 Tice Boulevard, Woodcliff Lake, New Jersey.
- As alleged herein, Sony and SCA have engaged in various acts in and directed to
 New Jersey.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of Counts I-XXIV of this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), 1367, 2201, and 2202, and the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, et seq., and of Counts XXV and XXVI of this Complaint under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(b), 1367 and/or the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.
- 7. Sony purports to be the owner of rights in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,285,285; 5,212,553; 5,434,626; 6,111,614; 5,168,362; 5,539,425; 6,778,182; RE 38,055; 5,583,577; 5,684,542; 5,731,847; and RE 40,468 (collectively, the "Patents-in-Suit"). Through a series of verbal and written communications, Sony has asserted that these patents relate to various aspects of

televisions and are infringed by VIZIO. Sony has confirmed its ability and willingness to file suit against VIZIO and has threatened to sue VIZIO for infringement of the Patents-in-Suit, but VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, either directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of any of the Patents-in-Suit, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, nor is it aware of any infringement of any of the Patents-in-Suit. A substantial controversy exists between the parties which is of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant declaratory relief.

- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Sony. Sony has regularly conducted business in and directed to New Jersey, including, *inter alia*, business pertaining to the Patents-in-Suit, has sued to enforce its patents in this Court, and has engaged in various acts in and directed to New Jersey.
- 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over SCA. SCA regularly conducts business in and directed to New Jersey, including, *inter alia*, business pertaining to the Patents-in-Suit, and has an office and employees in New Jersey.

THE PATENTS

- 10. U.S. Patent No. 5,285,285 ("the '285 patent") is entitled "Method of Controlling First Items That Require Prior CRT Display And Second Items That Require No Prior Display," and bears an issuance date of February 8, 1994. A copy of the '285 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 11. U.S. Patent No. 5,212,553 ("the '553 patent") is entitled "Television Receiver With Selective Menu Display," and bears an issuance date of May 18, 1993. A copy of the '553 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

- 12. U.S. Patent No. 5,434,626 ("the '626 patent") is entitled "Display Apparatus Displaying Operation Menu," and bears an issuance date of July 18, 1995. A copy of the '626 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 13. U.S. Patent No. 6,111,614 ("the '614 patent") is entitled "Method And Apparatus For Displaying An Electronic Menu Having Components With Differing Levels Of Transparency," and bears an issuance date of August 29, 2000. A copy of the '614 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 14. U.S. Patent No. 5,168,362 ("the '362 patent") is entitled "Apparatus For Displaying Standard Aspect Ratio Television Signal On Wide Aspect Ratio Display Screen," and bears an issuance date of December 1, 1992. A copy of the '362 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.
- 15. U.S. Patent No. 5,539,425 ("the '425 patent") is entitled "Display Unit," and bears an issuance date of July 23, 1996. A copy of the '425 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.
- 16. U.S. Patent No. 6,778,182 ("the '182 patent") is entitled "Display Device," and bears an issuance date of August 17, 2004. A copy of the '182 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 7.
- 17. U.S. Patent No. RE 38,055 ("the '055 patent") is entitled "Video Data Bus Communication System And Method," and bears an issuance date of April 1, 2003. A copy of the '055 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 8.
- 18. U.S. Patent No. 5,583,577 ("the '577 patent") is entitled "Caption Data Coding/Decoding Systems And Methods That Includes Key Data Indicating Intermediate Levels

Of Attenuation In The Vicinity Of The Caption," and bears an issuance date of December 10, 1996. A copy of the '577 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 9.

- 19. U.S. Patent No. 5,684,542 ("the '542 patent") is entitled "Video Subtitle Processing System," and bears an issuance date of November 4, 1997. A copy of the '542 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 10.
- 20. U.S. Patent No. 5,731,847 ("the '847 patent") is entitled "Subtitle Encoding/Decoding Method And Apparatus," and bears an issuance date of March 24, 1998. A copy of the '847 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 11.
- 21. U.S. Patent No. RE40,468 ("the '468 patent") is entitled "Video Data Bus Communication System and Method," and bears an issuance date of August 26, 2008. A copy of the '468 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 12.

COUNT I - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,285,285

- 22. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-21 as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '285 patent.
- 24. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 25. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '285 patent.

COUNT II - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,285,285

- 26. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-25 as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. The '285 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 28. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 29. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '285 patent.

COUNT III - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,212,553

- 30. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-29 as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '553 patent.
- 32. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 33. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '553 patent.

COUNT IV - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,212,553

- 34. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-33 as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. The '553 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 36. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 37. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '553 patent.

COUNT V - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,434,626

- 38. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-37 as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '626 patent.
- 40. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 41. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '626 patent.

COUNT VI - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,434,626

- 42. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-41 as though fully set forth herein.
- 43. The '626 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 44. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 45. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '626 patent.

COUNT VII - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,111,614

- 46. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-45 as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '614 patent.
- 48. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 49. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '614 patent.

COUNT VIII - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,111,614

- 50. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-49 as though fully set forth herein.
- 51. The '614 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 52. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 53. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '614 patent.

COUNT IX - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,168,362

- 54. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-53 as though fully set forth herein.
- 55. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '362 patent.
- 56. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 57. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '362 patent.

COUNT X - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,168,362

- 58. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-57 as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. The '362 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 60. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 61. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '362 patent.

COUNT XI - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,539,425

- 62. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-61 as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '425 patent.
- 64. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 65. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '425 patent.

COUNT XII - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,539,425

- 66. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-65 as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. The '425 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 68. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 69. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '425 patent.

COUNT XIII - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,778,182

- 70. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-69 as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '182 patent.
- 72. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 73. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '182 patent.

COUNT XIV - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,778,182

- 74. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-73 as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. The '182 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 76. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 77. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '182 patent.

COUNT XV - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 38,055

- 78. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-77 as though fully set forth herein.
- 79. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '055 patent.
- 80. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 81. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '055 patent.

COUNT XVI - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 38,055

- 82. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-81 as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. The '055 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 84. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 85. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '055 patent.

COUNT XVII - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,583,577

- 86. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-85 as though fully set forth herein.
- 87. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '577 patent.
- 88. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 89. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '577 patent.

COUNT XVIII - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,583,577

- 90. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-89 as though fully set forth herein.
- 91. The '577 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 92. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 93. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '577 patent.

COUNT XIX - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,684,542

- 94. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-93 as though fully set forth herein.
- 95. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '542 patent.
- 96. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 97. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '542 patent.

COUNT XX - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,684,542

- 98. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-97 as though fully set forth herein.
- 99. The '542 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 100. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 101. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '542 patent.

COUNT XXI - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,731,847

- 102. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-101 as though fully set forth herein.
- 103. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '847 patent.
- 104. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 105. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '847 patent.

COUNT XXII - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,731,847

- 106. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-105 as though fully set forth herein.
- 107. The '847 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 108. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 109. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '847 patent.

COUNT XXIII - DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 40,468

- 110. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-109 as though fully set forth herein.
- 111. VIZIO has not infringed and does not infringe, directly or indirectly, any valid and enforceable claim of the '468 patent.
- 112. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 113. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '468 patent.

COUNT XXIV - DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE 40,468

- 114. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-113 as though fully set forth herein.
- 115. The '468 patent is invalid for failure to meet the conditions of patentability and/or otherwise comply with one or more of 35 U.S.C. §§ 100 et seq., 101, 102, 103, 112 and 132.
- 116. As a result of the acts described in the foregoing paragraphs, there exists a substantial controversy of sufficient immediacy and reality to warrant the issuance of a declaratory judgment.
- 117. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate so that VIZIO may ascertain its rights regarding the '468 patent.

COUNT XXV - TRADE LIBEL/DISPARAGEMENT

- 118. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-9 as though fully set forth herein.
- one Sony/SCA officer Robert Wiesenthal, participated in a public telephone conference call to discuss the earnings performance of Sony for its fiscal 4th quarter of 2007 (the "Conference Call"). On information and belief, consistent with Sony's custom and practice, Sony's website supplied advance notice of the Conference Call, including a dial-in number to permit the public, including financial analysts and investors all over the United States, to participate or listen in on the call.
- 120. On information and belief, during the Conference Call, Sony and SCA officers made a presentation, after which there was a question and answer period. During the question and answer period, participating financial analysts directed questions to the Sony and SCA

officers. One such question from an unidentified analyst was: "Just curious as you move and push harder at the smaller screen size whether you can discuss how you plan to make money in such a competitive market?"

121. On information and belief, in responding to that question during the Conference Call, Mr. Wiesenthal purposely and maliciously made statements in the course of his employment as an officer of Sony and SCA about VIZIO television sets that were known to be false or made with reckless disregard for the truth, to wit:

"I think it's important to point out there is a very big difference between the high-end 1080p sets and the low-end kind of VIZIO and Chinese manufacturer semi non-HD sets."

In fact, VIZIO sells HD (high definition) television sets, not inferior "semi non-HD sets," and Mr. Wiesenthal had no basis for asserting otherwise.

- 122. On information and belief, Sony and SCA's false and disparaging statements were not only published to those members of the public who listened to the Conference Call as it occurred, but were also transcribed, and the transcript was published and made available to the public over the Internet by posting it on a website, found (as of October 10, 2008) at the following URL: http://seekingalpha.com/article/77322-sony-corp-f4q07-qtr-end-03-31-earnings-call-transcript.
- 123. VIZIO requested a retraction of the aforementioned statements but defendants were unwilling to provide one.
- 124. Sony and SCA's false and disparaging statements about VIZIO television sets has proximately caused VIZIO to incur and suffer special damages as described herein. The special damages incurred by VIZIO to date include the increased costs of creating and producing corrective television advertising spots designed to counteract the false assertions of Sony and SCA regarding VIZIO television sets and/or the attendant loss of goodwill they caused VIZIO.

In addition, by reason of these increased incurred costs, VIZIO has been unable to create and produce the additional television ads this year that it otherwise would have made. The amount of special damages incurred by VIZIO to date in this respect exceeds \$500,000, exclusive of interest, costs and attorneys fees.

VIZIO television sets that they knew or should have known were false, Sony and SCA are jointly and severally liable to VIZIO for common law trade libel and/or disparagement, for which VIZIO is entitled to: (a) an injunction ordering Sony and SCA to cease and desist from making any such statements and to disseminate a prominent public retraction of the statements that were made, and (b) compensation for the special damages incurred by VIZIO, as described above, in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT XXVI - UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER LANHAM ACT § 43(a)

- 126. VIZIO repeats and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1-9 and 118-125 as though fully set forth herein.
- 127. The above-alleged false and disparaging statements were made, on information and belief, by Sony/SCA officer Mr. Wiesenthal during the Conference Call and in the course of his employment, in connection with and in promotion of Sony/SCA and its television products to the public.
- 128. The above-alleged false and disparaging statements were made in commerce and materially misrepresent the nature, characteristics or qualities of VIZIO's television sets in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), and, on information and belief, for the purpose of influencing the public perception of VIZIO televisions in a negative

129. VIZIO is entitled to recover the damages specified in 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) and (b) from Sony and SCA as a result of their violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, VIZIO prays for the following relief:

- (a) A judgment declaring that VIZIO has not infringed, directly or indirectly, any valid or enforceable claim of the Patents-in-Suit;
 - (b) A judgment declaring that the claims of the Patents-in-Suit are invalid;
- (c) An order declaring that VIZIO is a prevailing party and that this is an exceptional case; awarding VIZIO its costs, expenses, disbursements and reasonable attorneys fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285;
- (d) An order directing Sony and SCA to cease and desist from making further false, misleading and/or disparaging statements regarding VIZIO television sets;
- (e) An order directing Sony and SCA to publish a prominent and public retraction of its false, misleading and/or disparaging statements regarding VIZIO television sets;
- (f) That defendants be ordered to pay VIZIO's special damages incurred as a result of the maliciously false, misleading and/or disparaging statements that they made;
- (g) That defendants be ordered to pay VIZIO such punitive damages as the trier of fact may award with respect to defendants' maliciously false, misleading and/or disparaging statements about VIZIO's television sets;
- (h) That defendants be ordered to pay VIZIO's damages assessed under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a) and (b);

- (i) That defendants be ordered to pay prejudgment interest;
- (j) That defendants be ordered to pay all costs associated with this action; and
- (k) That VIZIO be granted such other and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues and claims so triable.

Dated: October 10, 2008

s/Liza M. Walsh Liza M. Walsh CONNELL FOLEY LLP 85 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068 (973) 535-0500

Counsel for Plaintiff VIZIO, Inc.

Of Counsel:

James L. Wamsley, III JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1190 (216) 586-3939

LOCAL CIVIL RULE 11.2 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any other court, or any other pending arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: October 10, 2008

CONNELL FOLEY LLP

By: s/ Liza M. Walsh
Liza M. Walsh

RULE 201.1 CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the above-captioned matter is not subject to compulsory arbitration in that declaratory and injunctive relief is sought.

Dated: October 10, 2008

CONNELL FOLEY LLP

By: s/ Liza M. Walsh
Liza M. Walsh

EXHIBIT H